|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **IBDP Internal Investigation Coversheet** | Name: | Cand No: | Date: |
| Lab Title: |  | Teacher:  |

|  |
| --- |
| Candidate declaration: *“I confirm that this work is my own work and is the final version. I have acknowledged each use of the words or ideas of another person, whether written, oral or visual.”**Signed: Date:* |

|  |
| --- |
| **Personal Engagement** |
| Mark | Exploration | Personal Significance | Initiative |
| **1** | The evidence of personal engagement with **the exploration is limited** with **little independent thinking, initiative or insight** | The justification given for choosing the research question and/or the topic under investigation does **not demonstrate personal significance, interest or curiosity.** | There is litt**le evidence** of **personal input and initiative** in the designing, implementation or presentation of the investigation. |
| **2** | The evidence of personal engagement with **the exploration is clear with significant independent thinking, initiative or insight**. | The justification given for choosing the research question and/or the topic under investigation **demonstrates personal significance, interest or curiosity.** | There is **evidence of personal input and initiative in the designing**, implementation or presentation of the investigation |
| **Comments** |  | Mark: /2 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Exploration** |
| Mark | Research Question | Background | Method | Safety, ethics & environmental issues |
| **1-2** | The topic of the investigation is identified and a research question of **some relevance is stated but it is not focused.** | The background information provided for the investigation **is superficial or of limited relevance and does not aid the understandin**g or the context of the investigation. | The methodology of the investigation is only appropriate to address the research question **to a very limited extent since it takes into consideration few of the significant factors** that may influence the relevance, reliability and sufficiency of the collected data | The report shows evidence of **limited awareness of the significant safety ethical or environmental issues** that are relevant to the methodology of the investigation. |
| **3-4** | The topic of the investigation is identified and a relevant **but not fully focused research question is described.** | The background information provided for the investigation is **mainly appropriate and relevant and aids the understanding** of the context. Of the investigation | The methodology of the investigation is **mainly appropriate to address the research question but has limitation since it takes into consideration only some of the significant factors** that may influence the relevance, reliability and sufficiency of the collected data. | The report shows evidence of **some awareness of the significant safety, ethical or environmental issue**s that are relevant to the methodology of the investigation. |
| **5-6** | The topic of the investigation **is identified and a relevant and fully focused research question is clearly. described** | The background information provided for the investigation is **entirely appropriate and relevant and enhances the understanding** of the context of the investigation. | The methodology of the investigation is **highly appropriate to address the research question because it takes into consideration all, or nearly all of the significant factors** that may influence the relevance, reliability and sufficiency of the collected data | The report shows evidence of **full awareness of the significant safety ethical or environmental issues** that are relevant to the methodology of the investigation. |
| Comments |  | Mark: /6 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Communication** |
| Mark | Presentation | Structure | Focus | Terminology & convention |
| **1-2** | The **presentation of the investigation is unclear, making it difficult to understand** the focus, process and outcomes. | The report is **not well structured and is unclear: the necessary information on focus, process and outcomes is missing or is presented in an incoherent** or disorganized way. | The understanding of the **focus, process, and outcomes of the investigation is obscured by the presence of inappropriate or irrelevant information.** | There are **many errors in the use of' subject specific terminology and conventions** |
| **3-4** | The **presentation of the investigation is clear. Any errors do not hamper understanding** of the focus, process and outcomes. | The report is **well structured ‘and clear: the necessary information on focus, process and outcomes ‘is' present and presented in a coherent way**. | The report **is relevant and concise thereby facilitating a ready understanding of the focus, process and outcomes of the investigation** | The use **of subject specific terminology and conventions is appropriate and correct. Any errors do not hamper understanding**. |
| Comments |  | Mark: /4 |